UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/547,664 | 10/05/2005 | Seiji Kiriyama | 09867/0203329-US0 | 7179 |
| 7278 DARBY & DA | 7590 01/29/201 RBY P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 770 | | RENWICK, REGINALD A | | |
| Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3714 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/29/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/547,664 | KIRIYAMA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | REGINALD A. RENWICK | 3714 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | te | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the ejection port located on the first side wall must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/547,664 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keiji (JP 2003-024512 as disclosed by Applicant).

Re claims 1 and 6: Keiji discloses a medal collecting tray (Fig. 6: object 23b) comprising:

a bottom wall on which medals are accumulated;

side and a rear walls surrounding three sides of the bottom wall (Fig. 6: 25c and 27;

Para. 0018 and 0027 in translated copy);

and a protrusion (Fig. 6: 25c) for regulating accumulation of medals along a rear wall, in which the protrusion is located above a bottom wall 27 and below a rear wall 25b so as to be separate from the bottom wall, wherein the protrusion is tapered in the vertical direction from a base portion joined to the rear wall toward a tip, as it becomes progressively smaller at the top of the protrusion so that medals ejected from the medal ejection port fall on a tapered portion of the protrusion portion (Fig. 6: 25c).

Application/Control Number: 10/547,664 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Keiji (JP 2003-024512 as disclosed by Applicant).

Re claim 2: The Applicant has stated within the specifications that the medal ejection

port can be located within the rear wall:

"[0008] In the medal tray of the invention, a medal ejection port may be provided in the

rear wall, and the protrusion portion may be disposed between the medal ejection port

and the bottom wall. In this case, since the protrusion portion is interposed between the

medal ejection port and the bottom wall, closing of the medal ejection port with medals

on the bottom wall is suppressed. "

In addition, Applicant expresses the same intent for the medal ejection port to be

located in the rear wall in paragraph 0072 and Figures 17 and 18. Keiji discloses that

the rear wall is provided with a medal ejection port (Figure 1: object 21). Because

Applicant discloses that the rear wall is a suitable location for the medal ejection port, it

Art Unit: 3714

would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as a matter of design choice to change the location of the medal ejection port of Keiji from the rear wall to the side wall, as it does not effect the functionality of the medal tray.

Re claim 3: Keiji discloses within Fig. 6 that the corner between the rear wall and bottom wall is curved. Keiji also discloses within Figures 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13 the presence of a curved wall.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/05/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. The Applicant has also argued that 25c of Keiji does not teach a protrusion portion "so that medals ejected from the medal ejection port fall on a tapered portion of the protrusion portion." Instead the Applicant identifies 25c as a separation acceleration part. The examiner believes this is not true as object 25c clearly protrudes away from the rear wall and is between the ejection port and the bottom wall of the tray. As shown by the figures in Keiji the protrusion part prevents the collection of medals on the bottom of the tray, where according to the pictures no coins fall within the corner beneath the protrusion.

The Applicant has also argued against the 103 rejection made in which they state that under the corrected specifications, there is no basis for a design choice rejection.

However, the design choice rejection was made using the previous specifications for rationale. The examiner can not presume the correctness of the specifications and must use the words as presented for proper examination. A changed specification that changes the scope of the original specifications is new matter, and cannot be entered.

Page 6

However, even if entered the specifications would not overcome the design choice rejection, because the specifications do not provide an added benefit to the placement of the ejection port that is not readily available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Clearly, placing the ejection port either on the rear wall, as shown in Keiji, or on the side wall, as in the instant application, prevents coins from collecting on the rear wall.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REGINALD A. RENWICK whose telephone number is (571)270-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, Alt Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/547,664 Page 7

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. A. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714

> /Corbett Coburn/ Primary Examiner AU 3714